

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2002

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/765,521 | 01/18/2001 | Mark A. Lemkin | IMIN-01008US1 | 9535 |
| 28554 | 7590 09/30/2002 | | | |
| VIERRA MAGEN MARCUS HARMON & DENIRO LLP | | | EXAMINER | |
| 685 MARKET STREET, SUITE 540 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 | | | BELLAMY, TAMIKO D | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2856 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| * | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | , · · · | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/765,521 | LEMKIN ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | - | | | |
| | | Tamiko D. Bellamy | 2856 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A | April 2001 . | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| - | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> are subject to restriction and/or e on Papers | election requirement. | | | | | |
| 9) 🔲 - | The specification is objected to by the Examine | ٠. | | | | | |
| 10) 🔲 🗀 | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep | ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar | miner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| 11) 🔲 - | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro | ved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | ly to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | t(s) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal F | r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) · Application/Control Number: 09/765,521

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-16, drawn to a position sense interface, classified in class 73, subclass
 514.32.
 - II. Claims 17-25, drawn to an integrated circuit, classified in class 73, subclass 504.02.
 - III. Claims 26-38, drawn to a position sense interface, classified in class 73, subclass 514.32.
 - IV. Claims 42-46, drawn to a microelectrical structure, classified in class 73, subclass514.01
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I. and II. are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are a position sense interface and an integrated circuit makes use of position sensors. However the two inventions have the capability to function without the dependence of the other invention.

3. Inventions I. and III. are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the

- Application/Control Number: 09/765,521

Art Unit: 2856

subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because both inventions are the same thing. The subcombination has separate utility such as negative feedback loop. However this separate utility can be combined with the first invention.

- 4. Inventions I. and IV. are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the position sense interface does not have to be used with the subcombonation of a micro-mechanical element. The subcombination has separate utility such as an integration of mechanical elements that does not have to contain a position sense interface.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamiko D. Bellamy whose telephone number is (703) 305-4971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (703) 305-4705. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Tamiko Bellamy

September 23, 2002

HEZRON WILLIAMS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800